

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

Masayuki KOGA et al.

Serial No: 09/927,794

Filed: August 8, 2001

For: DISPLAY DEVICE AND SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICE
HAVING LASER ANNEALED SEMICONDUCTOR
ELEMENTS

Art Unit: 2811

Examiner: Sara W. Crane

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being
deposited with the United States Postal Service
with sufficient postage as first class mail in an
envelope addressed to:Commissioner for Patents
Washington D.C. 20231, on

December 4, 2002

Date of Deposit

John P. Scherlacher, Reg. No. 23,009

Name

Signature

12/04/02

Date

Box Non-Fee Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

Transmitted herewith is an amendment in the above-identified application.

- ☐ Small entity status of this application under 37 C.F.R. 1.9 and 1.27 has been established by a verified statement previously submitted.
- ☐ A verified statement to establish small entity status under 37 C.F.R. 1.9 and 1.27 is enclosed.
- ☐ A Notice Of Change Of Attorney's Address and Associate Power Of Attorney is enclosed.
- ☒ No additional fee is required.

The fee has been calculated as shown below:

	(Col. 1) CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		(Col. 2) HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	(Col. 3) PRESENT EXTRA*	LG/SM \$ ENTITY FEE	ADD'L FEE DUE
TOTAL CLAIMS FEE	6	-20	20 **	0	LG=\$18 SM=\$9	\$ 0
INDEPENDENT CLAIMS FEE	2	-3	3 ***	0	LG=\$84 SM=\$42	\$ 0
FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIMS					LARGE ENTITY FEE = \$280 SMALL ENTITY FEE = \$140	\$
					TOTAL	\$ 0

* If the entry in Col. 1 is less than the entry in Col. 2, write "0" in Col. 3.

** If the "Highest Number Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 20, write "20" in this space.

*** If the "Highest Number Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 3, write "3" in this space. The "Highest Number Previously Paid For" (Total or Independent) is the highest number found from the equivalent box on Col. 1 of a prior amendment or the number of claims originally filed.

- ☒ A check in the amount of \$ 110 to cover the Terminal Disclaimer fee. A copy of this sheet is enclosed.
- ☒ A check in the amount of \$ 920 to cover the extension fee is enclosed. A copy of this sheet is enclosed.
- ☒ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any deficiencies of fees associated with this communication or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-1314. A copy of this sheet is enclosed.
- ☒ Any filing fees under 37 C.F.R. 1.16 for the presentation of extra claims
- ☒ Any patent application processing fees under 37 C.F.R. 1.17.

Respectfully submitted,
HOGAN & HARTSON L.L.P.

By:

John P. Scherlacher
Registration No. 23,009
Attorney for Applicant(s)Date: December 4, 2002
Biltmore Tower
500 South Grand Avenue, Suite 1900
Telephone: 213 337-6700
Facsimile: 213 337-6701RECEIVED
DEC 11 2002
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800



PATENT
81784.0241

#7/Response
1-8-03
Mullish

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

Masayuki KOGA et al.

Serial No: 09/927,794

Filed: August 8, 2001

For: DISPLAY DEVICE AND SEMICONDUCTOR
DEVICE HAVING LASER ANNEALED
SEMICONDUCTOR ELEMENTS

Art Unit: 2811

Examiner: Sara W. Crane

I hereby certify that this correspondence
is being deposited with the United States
Postal Service with sufficient postage as
first class mail in an envelope addressed
to:

Commissioner for Patents
Washington D.C. 20231, on

December 4, 2002

Date of Deposit

John P. Scherlach, Reg. No. 23,009

Name

John P. Scherlach 12/04/02

Signature

Date

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Box Non-Fee Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated June 5, 2002, the period for response to
which is being extended by three months to December 5, 2002 by the accompanying
Petition, please enter and consider the enclosed Terminal Disclaimer:

REMARKS

Claims 10-15 are pending in the application.

On page 2 of the Office Action of June 5, 2002, claims 10-15 are rejected
under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being
unpatentable over claims 1-9 of U.S. Patent No. 6,355,940. In response, Applicants
are submitting a Terminal Disclaimer with respect to U.S. Patent No. 6,355,940.
Accordingly, the rejection on double patenting grounds should be removed by the
filing of the Terminal Disclaimer.

RECEIVED
DEC 11 2002
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800